IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1602 OF 2005

Mrs. Meera W/o Madan Singh .. Petitioner

Versus

The Additional Collector, Nasik
and others .. Respondent

Mr.M.B. Shirsat for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.P., for the respondents.

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 4TH OCTOBER, 2005

P.C. :

. The petitioner has prayed that the conditions of furnishing solvent surety of Rs. 4,28,000/- as imposed by the respondent No.1 in his order dated 23/5/2005 be waived, as the petitioner is unable to furnish the same. The learned A.P.P, Mr. More has produced in this Court a letter dated 25/8/2005 issued by the Regional Transport Officer, Nasik to Sr. Inspector of Police, Panchavanti Police Station, Nasik stating that the value of the vehicle in question is about Rs. 2,20,000/-.The letter is taken on record and marked Exh. "A". The learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not even in a

position to furnish the security for Rs. 2,20,000/- also. He states that he may be permitted to approach the Additional District Collector, Nasik, who has passed the order dated 23.5.2000 for modification of this order. We see no reason why such permission should not be granted. The petitioner may approach Additional District Collector, Nasik for modification of the order dated 23/5/2000. The Additional District Collector, Nasik shall deal with the application of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's case and the Additional District Collector is free to pass such order as he deems fit. The petition is disposed of.

[SMT. RANJANA P. DESAI, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]